

MINUTES OF NETHER STOWEY PARISH COUNCIL MEETING
HELD ON MONDAY 14 FEBRUARY 2022 AT 7.00pm
AT ST MARYS CHURCH CENTRE

Present: Parish Councillors John Roberts (Chairman)
 Janet Burdge (*from minute 5825*)
 Kevin Ferriday
 Margaret Hogg
 Andrew Jeanes
 Malcolm Reid
 Barbara Rich

In Attendance: Caro Slaymaker (Parish Clerk)
 Cllr Michael Caswell (SCC, SDC)
 Cllr Julie Pay (SDC)
 Thirteen members of the public

5822 Apologies

Cllr Falla had sent apologies for medical reasons. His absence was approved.

5823 Declarations of Interest

Cllr Rich declared an interest in agenda items 7a) and 11c) as the Parish Council's representative to Nether Stowey Village Hall and Recreation Ground. Cllr Jeanes declared an interest in agenda items 7a) and 11c) as the NSVHRG CIO as the Parish Council's nominated Trustee, and item 11d) as a neighbouring landowner.

5824 Public Session

A member of the Public raised concerns that there was insufficient publicity for the Playing Field's planning application. The Chairman responded that the CIO had arranged for the plans to be displayed in the Library and at the Portakabin. The Parish Office could print copies and would display those (*afternote: the Parish office can only print A3 size*). She also had concerns about the trees on the site and about the internal layout of the building.

A member of the public asked several questions about the Parish Council's policy on affordable housing. The Chairman and Cllr Ferriday provided information

Mrs Mayell announced that the Village Hall would be closed to hirers from 1 April. It was no longer viable to keep it open. They would prepare for the sale of the site which will fund the new facility.

5825 Co-option

Mrs Janet Burdge was duly co-opted to the Parish Council. She signed the Declaration of Acceptance and joined the meeting. The Chairman thanked her for being willing to serve the community and welcomed her to the Council.

5826 Minutes of Previous Meeting

The Minutes of the Parish Council meeting held on 10 January were **approved** as a true record and signed by the Chairman.

5827 District and County Liaison

Cllr Pay said that there was a lot of working going on in preparation for the new Unitary Council, though there would not be much to see before April. After the election Sedgemoor and the new council would operate side-by-side until April 2023.

Cllr Caswell confirmed that local elections would be held on 5 May to elect members of the Unitary Authority and to elect the Parish Council. It was business as usual for now, with a gradual transference. It was important that it must be a seamless transition, and everything must be in place by April 2023.

5828 Grants

- a) It was **agreed** to purchase magnetic tiles and a doctors kit to donate to the Toddlers Group at a cost of £55. Proposed Cllr Rich, seconded Cllr Hogg, unanimous.
- b) It was **agreed** to purchase various items of sporting equipment to donate to the Playing Field at a cost of about £900 plus VAT.

5829 Jubilee Policy

It was **agreed** to adopt the following policy (unanimous):

Her Majesty's Platinum Jubilee

Nether Stowey Parish Council will not, themselves be organising any activities to celebrate Her Majesty's Jubilee.

However they will where practical support, with advice or assistance activities organised within our village.

The Council's preferred option would be for a single, large celebration which would encompass all our parishioners of all ages. This preference does not debar smaller celebrations organised independently by smaller groups within our village.

Should financial assistance from the Parish Council be requested, this would need to be applied for in the normal way and a small grant form may be obtained from the Parish Office with the accompanying guidelines for its submission.

Additional to the standard protocols concerning grants, the Council is obliged to inform applicants that no activity would be supported if there would be a detrimental effect on other parishioners as a direct result of the activity being held.

5830 Dog Faeces Measures

Following discussions at the January meeting (min no 5813) a further idea to remind residents of the requirement to pick up after their dogs was discussed. It was **agreed** to purchase a pavement stencil and appropriate chalk spray paint (proposed Cllr Jeanes, seconded Cllr Rich, unanimous).

5831 Handrail for Footpath BW 22/3 (old A39, Inwood side)

It was **agreed** to accept the quote for £195 plus VAT (proposed Cllr Roberts, seconded Cllr Ferriday, unanimous).

5832 Planning Applications

- a) **Planning Ref:** 36/21/00029 – 23 Lime Street, Nether Stowey
Proposal: : Retrospective application for the demolition of a rotten/part dilapidated timber lean-to shed & erection of timber sauna outbuilding.
Response: **No objection**
- b) **Planning Ref:** 36/21/00024 – 64 South Lane, Nether Stowey
Proposal: Change of use of orchard to residential parking and the erection of single storey rear (North) extension part on site of existing (to be demolished)
Response: **No objection, with comments, a copy of the response is appended to these minutes**
- c) **Planning Ref:** 36/21/00030 – Nether Stowey Playing Field, Nether Stowey
Proposal: Erection of a replacement village hall with multi-use function hall, community facilities, changing rooms for sports and recreational use and erection of a caretaker/machine store. Demolition of cabin and shelter and formation of 4no. football pitches.
Response: **Support**– a copy of the response is appended to these minutes

Note: Cllrs Jeanes and Rich did not speak or vote on this application

The meeting was adjourned to allow members of the public to express their views on the following item. A number expressed their disgust and outrage at the proposal since the original development plans had been sold on the affordable housing element and had thus received goodwill, whereas without it there would have been strong objections to the proposal.

d) **Planning Ref: 36/22/00001** – Cricketer Farm

Proposal: Variations of Conditions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 25 of Planning Permission 36/19/00016 (Erection of 109 dwellings with access onto the A39, landscaping, parking, public open space and associated works.) to seek the removal of affordable housing provision from the development.

Response: **Object**– a copy of the response is appended to these minutes

Note: Cllr Jeanes did not speak or vote on this application

Cllrs Pay and Caswell left the meeting at 8.15 pm

5833 **Financial Management**

a) The Budget Report for the year to 10 February was noted. A copy of the report is appended to these minutes.

b) Expenditure incurred since 10 January:

Date	Payee Name	Cheque	Amount	Transaction Details
11 Jan	Zoom	card	14.39	Zoom January
13 Jan	STV International	card	55.92	Litter picking bag hoops(SGS grant)
13 Jan	via Amazon	card	45.89	Litter picker sticks (SGS grant)
17 Jan	GWB Services Ltd	122450	1,025.80	Village maintenance (Nov and Dec)
19 Jan	Microsoft	card	79.99	Microsoft Office 365 annual renewal
22 Jan	Staff payroll	122453-7	2,065.09	Staff payroll and cleaning (Dec)
25 Jan	St Marys PCC	122458	132.00	Church Centre (PC meetings, Art Group)
28 Jan	Colour Frog (J&A Int)	card	64.42	"Happy to Chat" bench signs
1 Feb	Grandfield & Son	122459	15.93	Wood for shelf, toilets service area
3 Feb	Clarity Copiers	122460	33.84	Printing charges Nov-Jan
4 Feb	E Martin Computer	DDR	28.34	Website Maintenance contract Feb
4 Feb	EDF Energy	DDR	19.00	Public toilets electricity Feb
4 Feb	EDF Energy	DDR	105.00	Office electricity Feb
4 Feb	EDF Energy	DDR	9.00	Clock Tower electricity Feb
7 Feb	John Hodge Solicitors	122461	351.60	Legal advice, Lucy Hobbs Trust
8 Feb	BT Business	DDR	47.94	Office Phone/broadband (bill 24 Jan)
8 Feb	C&M Plumbing	122462	417.00	Fit Cisterniser, valves (public toilets)
8 Feb	GWB Services Ltd	122463	446.40	Village maintenance (Jan)
15 Feb	P J Addicott	SO	350.00	Office rent (Feb)
15 Feb	Microsoft OneDrive	card	4.56	Microsoft OneDrive (Feb)
15 Feb	Zoom	card	14.39	Zoom subscription (Feb)
15 Feb	Santander	DD	3.65	a/c 3 transaction charges ()
Total Expenditure			£ 5,330.15	

Transfer of funds (chq 122451) 250.00 From Current a/c to A/c 3 (for debit card)

Cancelled cheque: 122452

The expenditure was **approved** and (any) two of the authorised signatories were instructed to sign the relevant cheques (proposed Cllr Reid, seconded Cllr Ferriday).

- c) The most recent bank statements/reconciliations were **noted**. Cllr Reid had carried out the bank reconciliation and voucher check and said it was “meticulous”.

5834 Highways

It had been noticed that the access to the new slip road close to the cemetery had been built without dropped kerbs as plans. This had been caused by an intervention by Highways, who had not understood the need for it. The Parish Council had received confirmation that it had been agreed to revert to the original plans and the kerbing would be rectified.

5835 Chairman's Report

The Clerk had received eight letters asking for the bus clearway restrictions to be modified to allow for overnight and weekend parking. It was agreed to take this up with Highways

A further traffic survey had been carried out on Mill Lane. The Parish Council had not received a report but had been advised that it had been carried out at 8am the previous work. No instances of speeding had been recorded.

The Chairman had attended another Local Government Reorganisation meeting on 3 February. Lots of ideas had been put forward but there was still little concrete information. He had convened a meeting on 28 February with members of the Unitary team and local parish councils.

5836 Clerks Report

The Clerk would be meeting the Office landlord to discuss redecorating the exterior of the office.

The Clerk too had attended a number of meetings on Local Government Reorganisation and would attend a virtual conference on 17 February with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman.

Sedgemoor were supplying three free trees to the Parish Council which would be planted in Plot 173. Stowey Green Spaces had agreed to plant them.

5837 Members Reports

Village Hall and Playing Field (Cllr Rich): owing to her accident Cllr Rich had been unable to attend the last meeting.

NSVH&RG CIO (Cllr Jeanes): the next CIO meeting was on 10 Mar and they were forming a clearer plan for the next 6 months.

Hinkley Point fora: Cllr Reid had attended HPC Community Forum. £4.1 bn had been spent to date and had created 13,500 jobs. There were 6,800 on site at present. 1,900 were living at the Bridgwater campus with the remainder living elsewhere in the area.

Somerset Bus Partnership: Cllr Reid said that the Bus Service Improvement Plan was heading for disaster. Of the £3 billion originally allocated by Government, about £1.6 billion had already been used for public transport during the pandemic leaving a much-reduced fund. Somerset's bid was for £165 million. Instead it was expected that 1 in 5 of current services would be cut in April. The buses needed more passengers to make services viable. Frome were proposing an “all-electric” service between Frome and Westbury.

AONB JAC Cllr Falla had previously circulated a written report following the last JAC meeting. The main points were:

- There will be a restructure of AONB staffing.
- JAC are formulating a detailed response for the governments consultation about the Glover report. Feeling is of disappointment that it doesn't do enough for AONBs
- QLPS team have now moved into our library and as Covid restrictions lift will man the office full time.

- The new proposed Crowcombe gate car park has a couple of technical issues to resolve but should see work commencing next winter i.e. 2022/2023
- The anti parking measures (low banks and ditches) has received permission and work will be starting shortly.
- QLPS are doing a lot of collaboration work with Alfoxton Park Trust.

Active Living: unfortunately, their first meeting had had to be postponed

Local Government Reorganisation (Cllr Ferriday): nothing to add to reports earlier

Thomas Poole Library (Cllr Ferriday): the next meeting would be next week

5838 Forthcoming Events

Tues 15 Feb – Lucy Hobbs Trustees meeting Office	11.15 am	Trustees
Wed 16 Feb – LGR Clerk’s forum Teams	10.30 am	CS
Thurs 17 Feb – LGR Conference Teams	6-9pm	JR, KF, CS
Mon 28 Feb – LGR briefing, Quantocks parishes	6.30pm	JR, KF, CS
Wed 2 Mar – LGR Clerk’s forum Teams	10.30 am	CS
Tues 8 Mar – Election training Teams	10.30 am	JR, CS
Thurs 17 Mar – HPC transport forum	6-9 pm	MR
Wed 30 Mar – LGR Clerk’s forum Teams	10.30 am	CS

5839 Next Parish Council Meeting

The next meeting will be held on **Monday 14 March** at the Church Centre at 7pm.

The meeting closed at 8.45 pm

Planning Response – 36/21/00024 – 64 South Lane

The Parish Council considered this application at their meeting on 14 February. The Parish Council comments as follows:

1. In respect of the proposed extension to the rear of the property, the Parish Council have no particular objection. The Parish Council note that the house is within the Conservation area, virtually unmentioned in the Heritage Statement and will accept any recommendation(s) made by the Conservation Officer (not available at the time of the meeting).

2. In respect of the car parking area:

a) The Parish Council welcomes any appropriate proposal that improves the car parking difficulties in the village, as laid out in the Neighbourhood Plan (Objective 12: “To improve car parking facilities and capability throughout the village” and Policy T5: “Improvement to Car parking facilities” which states:

Development proposals which seek to improve parking capacity and facilities within Nether Stowey village Settlement Boundary will be supported where the development accords with other relevant Development Plan policies.

South Lane is a narrow road with no pavement in the area of the property. It is well used by vehicular traffic and a main pedestrian route including for the many children walking to school from the Banneson Road estate. It is not safe for pedestrians dodging between parked cars to avoid passing vehicles.

b) However, the Parish Council have concerns about the safety aspects of the design. These are:

- i) The acuteness of the slope indicated on the drawings, especially with regard vehicles coming downwards onto narrow part of South Lane as there is little or no room for avoidance in the event of a collision situation.
- ii) There does not appear to be sufficient area to allow for an adequate visibility splay again given the restriction caused by the narrowness of the roadway.

Highways response to this application gives only “standing advice”, which does not make clear whether they accept that the design is safe.

- iii) The lower part of South Lane at the junction of Wilsons Close and South Lane is prone to flooding. The existing roadway descends from the proposed entrance towards the area that floods; therefore any run off from the proposed parking and driveway area will exacerbate that flooding, and the Parish Council requests that an appropriate condition is included in any consent to ensure that the situation is not exacerbated.
- c) The Parish Council are aware of concerns about the partial demolition of the old wall. They note that the wall has been breached elsewhere along its length in the past (in particular at Woodcroft). Would it be possible for the stones wall to be reused along the sides of the entrance to recreate the wall to replicate what has been lost? In the Parish Council’s view this would mitigate the heritage damage whilst achieving the objective of reducing the number of parked cars on the lane.

Planning Response – 36/21/00030 – Nether Stowey Playing Field

The Parish Council considered this application at their meeting on 14 February. The Parish Council supports this application, which will provide a modern and much-needed new facility in the village. The Parish Council has seen and is content with the revised landscaping plans.

The Parish Council is aware that concerns have been expressed concerning access for cyclists. However they believe that the three entrances (path from Banneson Road, now shown on the revised block plan and the entrances at St Mary Street and Barn Close) provide sufficient safe entrances for cyclists, and that these matters have been clarified by the Agent and the Planning Officer.

The Parish Council is content with the revised landscaping plan.

Planning Response – 36/22/00001 – Cricketer Farm

Nether Stowey Parish Council strongly objects to the proposal to remove the affordable housing provision from the development.

The Parish Council accepted this housing development, which is considerably larger than would have been preferred, on the basis that it made some contribution to addressing the serious housing needs of the community, as laid out in the 2016 Housing Needs Survey. The number of houses was significantly increased from the original outline plan to enable this.

We believe the proposal is contrary to the following.

Nether Stowey Neighbourhood Plan

- Policy H2 - Affordable Housing "To help ensure that local residents or those with a connection to the parish can remain or move back to the village, all qualifying housing developments will be expected to deliver at least the minimum levels of affordable housing as detailed in Local Plan 2011-2032, (subject to viability)."
- Policy H3 - Housing Type and Size "Developers are encouraged to provide dwelling types in the following approximate proportions in accordance with Sedgemoor District Councils SHMA.:"

Sedgemoor Adopted Local Plan

- Section 4.35 "In instances where infrastructure requirements impact on the viability of a development the Council will take a robust and positive approach in determining the nature and scale of any planning obligations, requiring appropriate viability assessment based on an 'open book' and any demonstration of viability constraints based on an agreed financial appraisal model. It should however not be assumed that development will be allowed which is unable to meet identified infrastructure requirements"
- Policy D6 "Where development proposals do not meet affordable housing targets, the Council will require a full development appraisal, including financial viability assessment, to demonstrate an acceptable alternative affordable housing provision. This might, in exceptional circumstances, include a financial contribution towards off-site provision."

The Parish Council notes that the viability assessment or a summary thereof has not been made publicly available as required by para 58 of the NPPF and the standing advice published by the DLUHC. Therefore the developer has failed in its obligations and the Council are unable to validate any claims made.

However the advice published by the Department for Levelling Up, Housing and Communities and Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government (DLUHC) with regard viability (September 2019) states

- "To define land value for any viability assessment, a benchmark land value should be established on the basis of the existing use value (EUV) of the land, plus a premium for the landowner."
- "For the purpose of plan making an assumption of 15-20% of gross development value (GDV) may be considered a suitable return to developers in order to establish the viability of plan policies."
- "Any viability assessment should be prepared on the basis that it will be made publicly available other than in exceptional circumstances. Even in those circumstances an executive summary should be made publicly available. Information used in viability assessment is not usually specific to that developer and thereby need not contain commercially sensitive data. In circumstances where it is deemed that specific details of an assessment are commercially sensitive, the information should be aggregated in published viability assessments and executive summaries, and included as part of total costs figures"

Furthermore may we draw attention to the recent High Court case before Mr Justice Holgate (Parkhurst Road Limited - Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government -The Council of the London Borough of Islington)

Where in his summing up.

- "The judge criticised the fact that the developer had not led evidence to justify its contention that a 50% affordable housing target was not financially viable but also suggested that RICS should review their 2012 Guidance Note (which covers financial viability in planning) due to problems arising where affordable housing policy requirements are ignored when sites are valued. This in turn leads developers to argue that they cannot comply with affordable housing policy requirements without rendering the development unviable. This is known as the circularity principle, where ignoring the policy requirements at the time of purchase can, in financial terms, render a development unviable and in the first instance allow a developer to argue that this unavailability allows it to avoid full planning gain contributions. The courts have now shown clearly that taking this approach deliberately (as opposed to because the market has fallen or policy requirements changed) would be risky".

Therefore should the viability assessment include the original purchase cost for the land as a contributing factor we would consider the viability assessment unsound and unacceptable with regard the loss of the affordable housing element of this development?

This is an application under section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 to vary or remove conditions associated with a planning permission. One of the uses of a section 73 application is to seek a minor material amendment, where there is a relevant condition that can be varied. Such is the magnitude of the changes being requested that the Parish Council is of the opinion that this is in no way a minor material change.

The Parish Council understands that the developer cites the junction works as a contributory factor; however these works were subject of a separate planning application and in fact the development or any development north of the A39 could not reasonably be undertaken without a safe crossing.

It is totally unacceptable that the Parish should have a large development which makes no contribution to address the housing needs of the parish. During the preplanning stage of this development strenuous efforts were made by Strongvox to emphasise the benefit to the village of this development. This especially the case with regard to affordable housing and the housing needs of local people. The application to remove the affordable housing has led to a groundswell feeling of complete betrayal of the village on the part of the developer.

Given that the developer has failed to comply with standing advice in publishing the viability summary or a summary thereof.

The application is contrary to both the adopted Local Plan and the Nether Stowey Neighbourhood plan.

The changes being requested are major variations from the original application that will drastically affect the village of Nether Stowey.

The Parish Council is of the opinion that if the developer wishes to make the changes requested then this should be dealt with by the submission of a new planning application and not a varying of already agreed conditions.

Monthly Budget Report 2021/2022
as at 11 February 2022

Income	Outturn at 31 Mar 2021	Budget 2021/22	First quarter	Second quarter	Third quarter	Jan 2022	Feb 2022	Mar 2022	Fourth quarter	Total income to date	% Original budget
1002 Allotment Rental	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	-	-	-	0.00	100.00	100.0%
1004 Bank Interest	66.12	85.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.86	-	-	2.86	2.86	3.4%
1005 Comm Infrastructure Levy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14,454.05	-	-	-	0.00	14,454.05	**
1006 Cemetery Income	2,463.00	1,500.00	1,245.00	957.00	418.00	506.00	176.00	-	682.00	3,302.00	220.1%
1011 Precept	58,379.00	63,412.00	31,706.00	31,706.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	63,412.00	100.0%
1012 Printing & Copying receipts	12.00	300.00	12.80	11.10	10.95	-	-	-	0.00	34.85	11.6%
115 VAT Refunds	1,535.02	-	958.11	0.00	748.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1,706.14	**
Total Income for Year	62,555.14	65,397.00	33,921.91	32,674.10	1,276.98	508.86	176.00	0.00	684.86	68,557.85	104.8%

Expenditure	Outturn at 31 Mar 2021	Budget 2021/22	First quarter	Second quarter	Third quarter	Jan 2022	Feb 2022	Mar 2022	Fourth quarter	Total spent to date	% Original budget
Parish Office											
4101 Office Rent, rates + supplies	4,245.90	4,315.00	1,084.39	1,010.48	1,054.49	350.00	350.00	-	700.00	3,849.36	89.2%
4102 Office utilities	3,346.61	4,410.00	969.95	990.00	946.02	330.00	105.00	-	435.00	3,340.97	75.8%
4103 Postage	54.96	60.00	26.01	0.00	31.68	-	-	-	0.00	57.69	96.2%
4104 Stationery + equipment	153.23	250.00	0.00	0.00	129.06	-	-	-	0.00	129.06	51.6%
4105 Telephone & Internet	559.94	480.00	159.80	119.85	120.34	39.95	-	-	39.95	439.94	91.7%
4106 Website + IT costs	747.20	695.00	82.26	206.26	107.26	94.08	23.62	-	117.70	513.48	73.9%
4107 Books and publications	0.00	-	26.74	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	26.74	**
4108 Printing costs	167.54	450.00	0.00	5.66	29.95	53.68	28.20	-	81.88	117.49	26.1%
4109 Office Miscellaneous	29.94	-	-29.94	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	-29.94	**
TOTAL Parish Office	9,305.32	10,660.00	2,319.21	2,332.25	2,418.80	867.71	506.82	0.00	1,374.53	8,444.79	79.2%
Staff Costs											
4201 Salaries	31,350.03	31,600.00	6,647.53	5,400.27	5,400.27	1,800.09	-	-	1,800.09	19,248.16	60.9%
4202 Staff travel and expenses	0.00	30.00	32.40	0.00	4.50	-	-	-	0.00	36.90	123.0%
4203 Staff Training	45.00	50.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.0%
TOTAL Staff Costs	31,395.03	31,680.00	6,679.93	5,400.27	5,404.77	1,800.09	0.00	0.00	1,800.09	19,285.06	60.9%

Expenditure	Outturn at 31 Mar 2021	Budget 2021/22	First quarter	Second quarter	Third quarter	Jan 2022	Feb 2022	Mar 2022	Fourth quarter	Total spent to date	% Original budget
Administration & Finance											
4301 Audit Fees	585.00	595.00	285.00	300.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	585.00	98.3%
4302 Bank charges	13.29	10.00	17.00	10.80	8.70	2.25	3.65	-	5.90	42.40	424.0%
4303 Chairman's Honorarium	250.00	250.00	250.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	250.00	100.0%
4304 C/llrs' Expenses/training	164.25	20.00	50.00	0.00	37.25	-	-	-	0.00	87.25	436.3%
4306 Elections	0.00	235.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.0%
4307 Insurance	1,197.18	1,230.00	884.55	500.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	1,384.55	112.6%
4308 Statutory Fees	35.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	35.00	-	-	-	0.00	35.00	87.5%
4309 Subscriptions	572.35	635.00	0.00	384.64	186.00	-	-	-	0.00	570.64	89.9%
4310 Meeting room hire/Zoom	131.89	420.00	57.97	104.47	60.00	83.99	-	-	83.99	306.43	73.0%
TOTAL Administration & Finance	2,948.96	3,435.00	1,544.52	1,299.91	326.95	86.24	3.65	0.00	89.89	3,261.27	94.9%
Cemetery											
4402 Cemetery Rates	218.85	235.00	151.67	100.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	251.67	107.09%
4403 Maintenance + bins	2,791.79	3,500.00	471.98	1,395.00	788.18	279.00	186.00	-	465.00	3,120.16	89.15%
TOTAL Cemetery	3,010.64	3,735.00	623.65	1,495.00	788.18	279.00	479.00	0.00	758.00	3,664.83	98.12%
Community Services											
4501 Christmas Tree & Lights	288.04	60.00	0.00	0.00	70.00	-	-	-	0.00	70.00	116.67%
4502 Allotment	100.00	100.00	0.00	0.00	100.00	-	-	-	0.00	100.00	100.00%
4504 Support for tourism	0.00	250.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00%
TOTAL Community Services	388.04	410.00	0.00	0.00	170.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	170.00	41.46%
TOTAL Neighbourhood Plan	1,586.31	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	**
Village Maintenance											
4601 A39 Verge	504.00	740.00	74.40	446.40	186.00	37.20	-	-	37.20	744.00	100.54%
4602 Clock Tower Maintenance	350.00	2,285.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00%
4603 Clock Tower Electricity	405.14	120.00	21.00	20.74	8.50	8.00	9.00	-	17.00	67.24	56.03%
4604 General Maintenance	230.08	190.00	0.00	166.67	8.12	-	-	-	0.00	174.79	91.99%
4605 The Cross, Gaol and WM	94.75	150.00	0.00	10.00	10.00	33.60	-	-	33.60	53.60	35.73%
4606 Palmers Path	351.00	460.00	18.60	111.60	70.71	148.80	-	-	148.80	349.71	76.02%
4607 Public Seating	0.00	250.00	27.62	0.00	300.00	-	-	-	0.00	327.62	131.05%
4608 Public Footpaths	0.00	25.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	0.00%
4609 Dog Bins	1,603.50	1,900.00	789.88	0.00	789.88	-	-	-	0.00	1,579.76	83.15%
4610 Coleridge Road	0.00	160.00	0.00	0.00	55.80	-	-	-	0.00	55.80	34.88%

Expenditure	Outturn at 31 Mar 2021	Budget 2021/22	First quarter	Second quarter	Third quarter	Jan 2022	Feb 2022	Mar 2022	Fourth quarter	Total spent to date	% Original budget
4611 Millennium Wood	0.00	100.00	78.77	49.02	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	127.79	127.79%
4612 Plot 173	216.00	-	0.00	223.20	148.80	337.60	-	-	337.60	709.60	**
4613 Mill Ln/Lime St maint	607.02	300.00	55.80	186.00	0.00	93.00	260.40	-	353.40	595.20	198.40%
TOTAL Village Maintenance	4,361.49	6,680.00	1,066.07	1,213.63	1,577.81	658.20	269.40	0.00	927.60	4,785.11	53.38%
Castle St Toilets + Car Park											
4701 Castle St Toilets Cleaning	0.00	3,515.00	0.00	562.79	813.89	250.00	-	-	250.00	1,626.68	46.28%
4702 Castle St Toilets Electricity	179.64	200.00	42.00	43.27	62.16	22.00	19.00	-	41.00	188.43	94.22%
4703a Car Park Rates	798.40	852.00	798.40	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	798.40	93.71%
4703b Public Toilets Rates	1,884.65	-	-1,884.65	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	-1,884.65	see note
4704 Castle St Toilets Repairs	0.00	150.00	116.18	-12.36	253.24	13.28	417.00	-	430.28	787.34	524.89%
4704b Castle St Toilets Refurb	0.00	-	1,906.43	420.01	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	2,326.44	**
4705 Castle St Toilets Water	239.78	360.00	115.43	0.00	449.84	-	-	-	0.00	565.27	157.02%
4706 Castle St Car Park maint	272.00	420.00	0.00	426.65	1,253.20	111.60	-	-	111.60	1,791.45	426.54%
TOTAL Castle St Toilets + Car Park	3,374.47	5,497.00	1,093.79	1,440.36	2,832.33	396.88	436.00	0.00	832.88	6,199.36	112.78%
Grants											
4801 Grants Pool	1,726.00	3,300.00	45.00	46.18	14.48	163.29	-	-	163.29	268.95	8.15%
4803 Library	500.00	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00	0.00	**
TOTAL Grants	2,226.00	3,300.00	45.00	46.18	14.48	163.29	0.00	0.00	163.29	268.95	8.15%
TOTAL CIL + Capital Expenditure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	**
VAT Account											
515 VAT Paid	1,379.60	0.00	472.81	275.22	336.82	60.23	68.96	-	129.19	1,214.04	**
Total Expenditure	59,975.86	65,397.00	13,844.98	13,502.82	13,870.14	4,311.64	1,763.83	0.00	6,075.47	47,293.41	72.32%
Total Income	62,555.14	65,397.00	33,921.91	32,674.10	15,731.03	508.86	176.00	0.00	684.86	83,011.90	126.94%
Balance expenditure-income	2,579.28	0.00	-20,076.93	-19,171.28	-1,860.89	3,802.78	1,587.83	0.00	5,390.61	35,718.49	

Note 1 – Refund of rates paid in 2020/21

Balance Sheet	Bank:	17,605.43	Balance:	as at 1 Apr	30,596.85
		48,470.78		Plus: income	83,011.90
		239.13		Less: payments	47,293.41
		= £ 66,315.34			= £ 66,315.34